

Exhibit 49

Excerpts of deposition of David Alan Johnson
(November 17, 2023)

In the Matter Of:
THE SATANIC TEMPLE
VS
NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

DAVID JOHNSON

November 17, 2023



Moburg Reporting
33400 9th Ave. South, Suite 207
Federal Way, WA 98003
(206) 622-3110
www.MoburgReporting.com

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 -----
4 THE SATANIC TEMPLE, INC.,)
5 Plaintiff,)
6 vs.) NO. 1:22-CV-01343-MKV
7 NEWSWEEK DIGITAL, LLC,)
8 Defendant.)
9 -----

10 Videotaped Deposition Upon Oral Examination
11 of
12 DAVID ALAN JOHNSON

13 -----
14 Friday, November 17, 2023
15 9:36 a.m.
16 7900 Southeast 28th Street
17 Mercer Island, Washington
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Cheryl Macdonald, CRR, RMR
25 Court Reporter
License No. 2498

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 after a complaint was made. It could be incidental.</p> <p>2 They could be removed for some other reason besides</p> <p>3 that.</p> <p>4 Q. So if they were removed because of the</p> <p>5 complaint, though, then that's not a cover-up, in your</p> <p>6 opinion; is that correct?</p> <p>7 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form.</p> <p>8 A. I think it depends on the particulars of</p> <p>9 the situation.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Have you personally witnessed any</p> <p>11 sexual abuse within The Satanic Temple?</p> <p>12 A. I have not.</p> <p>13 Q. You gave an interview to Julia Duin at some</p> <p>14 point previously; is that correct?</p> <p>15 A. That is correct.</p> <p>16 Q. During that interview you indicated --</p> <p>17 well, let's back up. I believe during that interview</p> <p>18 you indicated that you were a witness to a sexual</p> <p>19 harassment complaint. Do you recall that?</p> <p>20 A. That sounds correct, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And when I say "I believe," I'm not sure if</p> <p>22 it was you or if it was someone else. So unpacking it</p> <p>23 slightly, did you indicate to Julia Duin that you were</p> <p>24 a witness to a sexual harassment complaint?</p> <p>25 A. I believe that is correct, yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 Q. You say when "that was brought up." What</p> <p>2 was brought up?</p> <p>3 A. In 2020, when the former member, who was</p> <p>4 unhappy about the way their sexual harassment had been</p> <p>5 treated, talked publicly about it, as their</p> <p>6 unhappiness with their treatment in the organization.</p> <p>7 Q. Was this a written complaint or an</p> <p>8 unwritten complaint?</p> <p>9 A. I believe it was a Facebook post.</p> <p>10 Q. So this was -- backing up slightly, are you</p> <p>11 familiar with the concept of National Council or</p> <p>12 International Council?</p> <p>13 A. Within the context of The Satanic Temple?</p> <p>14 Q. Correct.</p> <p>15 A. My understanding is that the National</p> <p>16 Council and International Council were a leadership</p> <p>17 committee directly below Doug Misicko and Cevin</p> <p>18 Soling, the executive committee.</p> <p>19 Q. But the question posed is whether you're</p> <p>20 familiar with them in the first place. So that's a</p> <p>21 yes, correct?</p> <p>22 A. If what I just said was accurate, then yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Within your understanding, did</p> <p>24 International Council or National Council investigate</p> <p>25 matters of claims of sexual harassment?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. In your definition of sexual abuse,</p> <p>2 whatever the sexual harassment complaint was, was that</p> <p>3 sexual abuse?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know that I feel qualified to say.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Well, what was the sexual harassment</p> <p>6 complaint?</p> <p>7 A. There was a former member of the local</p> <p>8 TST group who had been, from my understanding,</p> <p>9 repeatedly made to feel uncomfortable by a much older</p> <p>10 member. I think -- I think this was in a period of</p> <p>11 2017 to 2018. So it was before I was a member. They</p> <p>12 left because it was nonaddressed for months, and then</p> <p>13 I found out about it in 2020.</p> <p>14 Q. Let's unpack that slightly. You indicated</p> <p>15 that you were a listed witness on a complaint;</p> <p>16 correct?</p> <p>17 MR. ROLLER: Object to the form. I think</p> <p>18 it misstates prior testimony.</p> <p>19 Q. Let me rephrase. You indicated to Duin</p> <p>20 that you were a listed witness on a sexual harassment</p> <p>21 complaint; correct?</p> <p>22 A. I'm not -- I'm not sure. There was a</p> <p>23 complaint by a person who was no longer a member. We</p> <p>24 found out about it in 2020, and then that was brought</p> <p>25 up. That's when I was made aware of it.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form.</p> <p>2 MR. ROLLER: Object to form.</p> <p>3 A. Sorry. Could you restate the question?</p> <p>4 Q. In your understanding, did national -- I'm</p> <p>5 just going to call it the National Council. In your</p> <p>6 understanding, did National Council investigate claims</p> <p>7 of sexual harassment?</p> <p>8 A. I am not sure. I have heard that that's</p> <p>9 so.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. But you lack personal knowledge;</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge</p> <p>14 whether the person who claimed sexual harassment ever</p> <p>15 raised a complaint to national council?</p> <p>16 A. I do not know that, no.</p> <p>17 Q. You indicated that you were a witness. I'm</p> <p>18 having trouble understanding how you are a witness in</p> <p>19 this complaint.</p> <p>20 MR. ROLLER: Object to the form.</p> <p>21 Q. Please help me understand that. You</p> <p>22 indicated to Duin, "We were a witness", correct?</p> <p>23 A. I'm not sure what the -- that's what we</p> <p>24 said. If that's what the transcript is, then that's</p> <p>25 what we said.</p>

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 Q. Well, let's interject there and play the</p> <p>2 clip. So for benefit of the record, we're pulling</p> <p>3 Newsweek 390, which is a portion of an -- which prior</p> <p>4 testimony has established that this is a portion of an</p> <p>5 interview between Duin and four prior members of The</p> <p>6 Satanic Temple.</p> <p>7 A. I do not believe it was four. It was three</p> <p>8 people were there.</p> <p>9 Q. Oh, okay. So prior testimony suggested it</p> <p>10 was you, Leah Fishbaugh, and --</p> <p>11 A. Nathan Sullivan.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay, yes. So who is the fourth member of</p> <p>13 QueerSatanic?</p> <p>14 A. The fourth person that you're suing is</p> <p>15 Micky Powell.</p> <p>16 Q. And Micky was not at this interview; is</p> <p>17 that correct?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct.</p> <p>19 Q. So returning again to the audio clip, this</p> <p>20 is Newsweek 390. We have a four-minute clip from that</p> <p>21 interview. Prior testimony established that this was</p> <p>22 approximately an hour-and-a-half-long interview; is</p> <p>23 that correct?</p> <p>24 A. I am not sure. It's been a while. So I</p> <p>25 don't recall.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 person.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. But I need to find this e-mail. So</p> <p>3 do you recall the e-mail address?</p> <p>4 A. I do not.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you recall whether they used a</p> <p>6 pseudonym?</p> <p>7 A. I believe they were going by Wylie,</p> <p>8 W-Y-L-I-E.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you recall Wylie's last name?</p> <p>10 A. Duffy, D-U-F-F-Y.</p> <p>11 Q. And to clarify, that is a pseudonym, to the</p> <p>12 best of your knowledge; correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you recall whether this was a Gmail</p> <p>15 address?</p> <p>16 A. It was probably a Gmail address.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you know where Wylie is?</p> <p>18 A. I do, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Where is Wylie?</p> <p>20 A. They live in Seattle.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you have Wylie's residential address?</p> <p>22 A. I do not, no.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you have Wylie's work address?</p> <p>24 A. I do not.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you have Wylie's telephone number?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 (Tape played.)</p> <p>2 Q. Pausing at 16 seconds, a male voice is</p> <p>3 speaking here. Is that Nathan Sullivan?</p> <p>4 A. That's Nathan Sullivan.</p> <p>5 (Tape played.)</p> <p>6 Q. Pausing at 50. So thus far, Nathan tells</p> <p>7 Duin that there was an ethics complaint. It was</p> <p>8 e-mailed, and several of us were listed as witnesses.</p> <p>9 Were you one of the "several of us"?</p> <p>10 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form.</p> <p>11 A. I was CC'd on that e-mail, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. So this terminology "listed as witnesses,"</p> <p>13 is that just because you were CC'd on the e-mail?</p> <p>14 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And when did this e-mail take place?</p> <p>16 A. By recollection, it was in March of 2020.</p> <p>17 Q. Who sent the e-mail?</p> <p>18 A. Another former member who is not a party in</p> <p>19 the suit.</p> <p>20 Q. So not one of the four constituting QS.</p> <p>21 Was it the former member who claimed sexual</p> <p>22 harassment?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Who was it?</p> <p>25 A. It was another former member who knew that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 A. I might.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you have Wylie's e-mail address?</p> <p>3 A. I would have Wylie's e-mail address, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you have this e-mail?</p> <p>5 A. You mean off the top of my head?</p> <p>6 Q. Yes.</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. So off the top of your head you know that</p> <p>9 you do not have his e-mail?</p> <p>10 A. I can't recall to you what the e-mail</p> <p>11 address is.</p> <p>12 Q. No, no. I'm not asking whether you can</p> <p>13 recall the e-mail address. I'm asking whether you</p> <p>14 have that e-mail. If I were to, for example, issue a</p> <p>15 subpoena duces tecum, could you produce the e-mail?</p> <p>16 A. I haven't checked in a while. I might be</p> <p>17 able to.</p> <p>18 Q. Returning your attention to the earlier</p> <p>19 testimony. You did not personally witness this</p> <p>20 particular event; correct?</p> <p>21 A. Can you specify what this particular event</p> <p>22 is?</p> <p>23 Q. This e-mail complaint raised by Wylie Duffy</p> <p>24 about sexual harassment that continued on for some</p> <p>25 period of time three years before you were a member.</p>

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 A. I did not witness the sexual harassment 2 before I was a member, that's correct. 3 Q. And have you seen any other sexual abuse of 4 any sort within The Satanic Temple? 5 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form. 6 A. When you say that, do you mean have I 7 witnessed with my own eyes? 8 Q. Correct, yeah. You personally. 9 A. No, I don't believe so. 10 Q. Okay. So any knowledge you have would be 11 based on hearsay; is that correct? 12 MR. ROLLER: Object to the form. 13 A. Knowledge I have comes from other people 14 who experienced things, yes. 15 Q. And the information that you received from 16 other people who experienced things, did they give you 17 this information under penalty of perjury? 18 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form. 19 A. No. 20 Q. This complaint in 2020, did you raise any 21 criminal complaints on the matter? 22 A. I did not. 23 Q. To your knowledge, were any criminal 24 complaints ever raised? 25 A. To my knowledge, no.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 Q. So to be more particular, you personally 2 know that he was not a member of TST Washington in 3 2020; correct? 4 MR. ROLLER: Object to the form, but you 5 can answer. 6 A. That's a somewhat complicated question 7 because membership was not kept up in a particularly 8 organized way. So, to my knowledge, he was not a 9 member anymore. 10 Q. But I'm trying to ascertain -- you say to 11 your knowledge, meaning you affirmatively know that he 12 was not; is that correct? 13 A. I do not know that he was not. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. And how do you know that or -- strike that. 17 What would constitute him being a member or 18 not a member of TST Washington, in your opinion? 19 A. In my mind? 20 Q. Mm-hmm. 21 A. There were lists of people who were 22 members. However, those lists were not up to date. 23 So sometimes people who were supposedly expelled were 24 not actually, like, officially expelled, if that makes 25 sense.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 Q. To your knowledge, were there ever any 2 criminal charges on the matter? 3 A. To my knowledge, no. 4 MR. KEZHAYA: I think we're at a good place 5 to take a break. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the 7 record. The time is now 9:56 a.m. 8 (Recess.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the 10 record. The time is now 10:02 a.m. 11 Q. Do you recall the name of the person about 12 whom this 2017 sexual harassment claim was raised? 13 A. I believe I know their pseudonym. 14 Q. What is their pseudonym? 15 A. Dice Marlow. 16 Q. How do I spell Dice? 17 A. D-I-C-E, and then Marlow, I believe, is 18 M-A-R-L-O-W. 19 Q. Do you recall the name or pseudonym of the 20 person who allegedly sexually harassed Dice Marlow? 21 A. To my recollection, the pseudonym was John 22 Milton. 23 Q. Do you know whether John Milton, as of 24 2020, was still a member of TST Washington? 25 A. To my knowledge he was not.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 Q. Yeah, meaning their name was not struck 2 from some list; correct? 3 A. No. There were lists of active members and 4 supposedly expelled members, but this was not kept up 5 to date in any sort of accurate way, in my experience 6 with the organization. 7 Q. Did TST Washington have in-person events? 8 A. They did, yes. 9 Q. If John Milton showed up at one of those 10 in-person events, would he have been removed? 11 A. I am not sure. 12 Q. Would he have been welcomed? 13 A. I am not sure. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Duin that you personally 15 witnessed any form of sexual abuse? 16 A. Not to my knowledge, no. 17 Q. Did you ever tell Duin that you personally 18 witnessed any form of cover-up? 19 A. Not to my recollection, no. 20 Q. Did Duin ever ask you for any clarifying 21 details as to the ethics complaint? 22 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form. 23 A. Not to my recollection, but if you have the 24 interview, then that would -- that should say that. 25 Q. So, in other words, if it's not in the</p>

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 clip, there was no follow-up writings, you know,</p> <p>2 asking follow-up questions. Is that --</p> <p>3 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Did Duin ever ask you for any</p> <p>5 clarifying details as to cover-up?</p> <p>6 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>7 Q. Did Duin ever ask you any follow-up</p> <p>8 questions about issues raised by Jinx Strange?</p> <p>9 MS. TESORIERO: Objection to form.</p> <p>10 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you recall the time difference between</p> <p>12 the interview and you providing Duin contact</p> <p>13 information for Jinx Strange?</p> <p>14 A. I do not know.</p> <p>15 Q. And I earlier asked you more generally --</p> <p>16 let's establish that foundation. Did you personally</p> <p>17 provide Duin the contact information for Jinx Strange?</p> <p>18 A. I honestly don't recall.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you recall how Duin received the contact</p> <p>20 information for Jinx Strange?</p> <p>21 MR. ROLLER: Objection to form.</p> <p>22 MS. TESORIERO: Objection.</p> <p>23 A. I do not know.</p> <p>24 MR. KEZHAYA: Okay. Pass the witness.</p> <p>25 MS. TESORIERO: Thank you.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 MR. KEZHAYA: I'm going to interpose an</p> <p>2 objection here. We have a protective order that</p> <p>3 limits this deposition to sexual abuse and cover-up.</p> <p>4 I very intentionally did not ask any questions about</p> <p>5 the guild structure. We can get into it, but this is</p> <p>6 outside the protective order that you-all asked for.</p> <p>7 MS. TESORIERO: Let me clarify.</p> <p>8 Q. I am trying to get to the allegation of a</p> <p>9 cover-up. If individuals were removed after being</p> <p>10 copied on a sexual harassment complaint, I believe</p> <p>11 that goes to cover-up. I am only asking for you to</p> <p>12 explain to me the connection between this complaint,</p> <p>13 your knowledge of the complaint, and to the extent you</p> <p>14 believe it led to your removal from the group.</p> <p>15 MR. ROLLER: If I can just say one thing</p> <p>16 before you answer. I have no objection to the line of</p> <p>17 inquiry here without waiver of raising the scope of</p> <p>18 the protective order.</p> <p>19 MR. KEZHAYA: Okay. I'm just letting you</p> <p>20 know now before we get into it further, I'm going to</p> <p>21 cross-examine him further about the nature of the</p> <p>22 guild structure, what did he do for TST. This has a</p> <p>23 very high likelihood of turning into a dispute that</p> <p>24 I'm trying to avoid here.</p> <p>25 MS. TESORIERO: I am only asking about a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 EXAMINATION</p> <p>2 BY MS. TESORIERO:</p> <p>3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Johnson. Thank you for</p> <p>4 being here. As I said earlier, my name is Sara</p> <p>5 Tesoriero. I'm an attorney for Newsweek, who is the</p> <p>6 defendant in this case. I just have a couple</p> <p>7 follow-up questions.</p> <p>8 First, a point of clarification from the</p> <p>9 earlier conversation. Did you say that John Milton</p> <p>10 was the accused in the complaint we've been</p> <p>11 discussing?</p> <p>12 A. I believe that's correct, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And Dice Marlow was the alleged victim?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Thank you. Turning to the discussion you</p> <p>16 and the other QueerSatanic members and Duin had during</p> <p>17 the interview. Based on the interview, it is my</p> <p>18 understanding that your position is that you were</p> <p>19 removed from TST leadership after being copied on the</p> <p>20 e-mail complaint; is that correct?</p> <p>21 A. I believe the dissolution of the so-called</p> <p>22 guild structure happened after that, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Would you please describe the timeline and</p> <p>24 connect for me the e-mail to the breakdown of the</p> <p>25 guild structure?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 specific incident that, based on the definition we</p> <p>2 discussed, could constitute a cover-up.</p> <p>3 MR. KEZHAYA: Okay.</p> <p>4 A. I'm sorry. Could you restate the question?</p> <p>5 I know it's difficult.</p> <p>6 Q. One moment. What is your basis for</p> <p>7 believing that you personally were removed from TST</p> <p>8 guild leadership because you were copied on an e-mail</p> <p>9 complaint about sexual harassment?</p> <p>10 A. I do not understand that to be the cause</p> <p>11 and effect.</p> <p>12 Q. Then I have no further questions on that</p> <p>13 matter.</p> <p>14 A. Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. You mentioned briefly that the e-mail</p> <p>16 complaint that Wylie Duffy brought to your attention</p> <p>17 was not the only incident of -- actually, pause that</p> <p>18 question one moment. Strike that.</p> <p>19 I know you and Mr. Kezhaya discussed your</p> <p>20 understanding of sexual abuse. For the purpose of my</p> <p>21 questions, I'm going to tell you that my definition of</p> <p>22 sexual abuse for these questions is any and all forms</p> <p>23 of unwanted sexual contact, whether physical or</p> <p>24 verbal, which would include but not be limited to</p> <p>25 sexual harassment. Do you understand that definition?</p>

November 17, 2023

THE SATANIC TEMPLE vs NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

David Johnson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 questions.</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off the</p> <p>3 record. This marks the end of the deposition of David</p> <p>4 Alan Johnson. The time is now 10:27 a.m.</p> <p>5 THE REPORTER: Signature?</p> <p>6 MR. ROLLING: Yes. We want to read it.</p> <p>7 MR. KEZHAYA: We'll need the transcript.</p> <p>8 MS. TESORIERO: Copy, please.</p> <p>9 (Deposition concluded at 10:27 a.m.)</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 outcome thereof;</p> <p>2 I further certify that the witness before</p> <p>3 examination was by me duly sworn to testify to the</p> <p>4 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;</p> <p>5 I further certify that the deposition, as</p> <p>6 transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of</p> <p>7 the testimony, including questions and answers, and</p> <p>8 all objections, motions, and exceptions of counsel</p> <p>9 made and taken at the time of foregoing examination</p> <p>10 and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative</p> <p>11 Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format</p> <p>12 guideline;</p> <p>13 I further certify that I am sealing the</p> <p>14 deposition in an envelope with the title of the above</p> <p>15 cause and the name of the witness visible, and I am</p> <p>16 delivering the same to the appropriate authority;</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand,</p> <p>19 and affixed my official seal this 30th day of</p> <p>20 November 2023.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 _____</p> <p>23 Cheryl Macdonald, CCR</p> <p>24 Washington State Certified</p> <p>25 Court Reporter</p> <p>License No. 2498</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 STATE OF WASHINGTON)</p> <p>4) ss.</p> <p>5 COUNTY OF KING)</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court</p> <p>8 Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, authorized to</p> <p>9 administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State</p> <p>10 of Washington, do hereby certify:</p> <p>11 That the annexed and foregoing deposition</p> <p>12 consisting of Page 1 through 34 was taken</p> <p>13 stenographically before me and reduced to a typed</p> <p>14 format under my direction;</p> <p>15 I further certify that according to CR 30(e) the</p> <p>16 witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and</p> <p>17 sign after the same was transcribed, unless indicated</p> <p>18 in the record that the review was waived;</p> <p>19 I further certify that all objections made at the</p> <p>20 time of said examination to my qualifications or the</p> <p>21 manner of taking the deposition, or to the conduct of</p> <p>22 any party, have been noted by me upon said deposition;</p> <p>23 I further certify that I am not a relative or</p> <p>24 employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I</p> <p>25 am not financially interested in said action or the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 DECLARATION</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 I declare under penalty of perjury that I</p> <p>6 have read my within deposition, and the same is true</p> <p>7 and accurate, save and except for changes and/or</p> <p>8 corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the</p> <p>9 correction sheet hereof.</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 _____</p> <p>14 DAVID ALAN JOHNSON</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19 Dated this _____ day of _____,</p> <p>20 2023.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25 CHERYL MACDONALD, Court Reporter</p>

David Johnson

33400 9th Ave. South, Suite 207
Federal Way, WA 98003